UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,322	06/10/2005	Arthur F. Clark	11044-0003	1549
22902 7590 07/10/2008 CLARK & BRODY 1090 VERMONT AVENUE, NW			EXAMINER	
			MANOHARAN, VIRGINIA	
SUITE 250 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/538,322	CLARK, ARTHUR F.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Virginia Manoharan	1797				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 A	pril 2008					
,	action is non-final.					
/_	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-18</u> is/are pending in the ap	4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-18 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-11</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,12-14 and 16-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/538,322 Page 2

Art Unit: 1797

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's election without traverse of group 1, claims 1-6, 8 and 12-18 in the reply filed on April28 2008 is acknowledged.

Claims 1-6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- a). The subject matter of claim 3 appears to be already covered in claim 1, the claim from which it depends. That is, the separating of said target from said solvent in claim 3 appears to be covered in the separating of said target from said multi-component vapor which is comprised of said target and said solvent in recited in claim 1, claimed twice?
- b). At least part of claim 1 is recited in passive language e.g., "...by providing to said evaporator a dilutive solvent..."
- c). It is unclear from claim 1 whether the additional amounts of said solvent provided to said evaporator is the solvent produced from the evaporator or not? [Note applicant's remarks that invention recited in claim 1 includes the further step of adding solvent back to the evaporator.

Application/Control Number: 10/538,322 Page 3

Art Unit: 1797

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12-14 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bowes in view of Cox et al and Aquila et al.

The above references are applied for the same combined reasons as set forth at pages 3 and 4 of the previous Office action.

Claims 1-6 and 8 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claim 15 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicant's arguments filed March 20, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 10/538,322

Art Unit: 1797

Claim 8 is not limited to the argued "further step of adding solvent back to the evaporator" commensurate with the argument.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- a).Beal et al discloses a recyling method.
- b). Beasley et al discloses a method and apparatus for reclaiming or concentrating e.g., alkanolamines.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Virginia Manoharan whose telephone number is 571-272-1450.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola, can be reached on 571-272-1444.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on

Application/Control Number: 10/538,322 Page 5

Art Unit: 1797

access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Virginia Manoharan/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797